

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,507	0/611,507 06/30/2003		Michael E. Badding	SP03-079	2157
22928	7590	05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
CORNING	INCORI	PORATED	WALKER, KEITH D		
SP-TI-3-1 CORNING, NY 14831				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		117 117 117	n
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/611,507	BADDING ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith Walker	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ebruary 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 10-16 and 23-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 and 17-22 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-16, 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary



Application/Control Number: 10/611,507

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2001/0044043 (Badding) in view of US Patent 4,272,353 (Lawrance).

Regarding claims 10-14, 16 & 23, Badding teaches a yttria-stabilized zirconia electrolyte for use in a solid oxide fuel cell ([0003] & [0004]). The electrolyte is flexible and has a thickness of 5-20 microns ([0042]). Possible doping oxides for the electrolyte are selected from the group of Y, Ce, Ca, Mg, Sc, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu, In, Ti, Sn, Nb, Ta, Mo, and W and mixtures thereof ([0044]).

While Badding further teaches the use of varying the sheet thickness by roughening up the electrolyte surface to improve the adhesion, he is silent as to the thickness variations.

For claims 10, 15 & 23-25, Lawrence also teaches roughening up the surface of the electrolyte to improve the adhesion. An average depth of groove from 4-10 microns varies the thickness of the electrolyte (6:65-68).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,507

Art Unit: 1745

The motivation to use the roughened surface with the electrolyte is to provide a better adhesive surface for the electrolyte.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the roughened electrolyte of Badding with the electrolyte of varying thickness to improve the adhesive property of the electrolyte and improve the connection between the electrode and the electrolyte.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 10-16 & 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication 2003/0180602 (Finn).

Regarding claims 10, 11, 15, 23-24, Finn teaches a solid oxide fuel cell with a textured electrolyte made with yttria-stabilized zirconia ceramic. The surface has a plurality of protrusions having a height less than 5% the average thickness of the electrolyte. The surface roughness is 0.5 - 2.5 microns, which gives an average electrolyte thickness of 10 - 50 microns ([0175] & [0177]).

Regarding claims 12-14, the average electrolyte thickness is between 10 and 50 microns ([0175]).

Regarding claim 16, since the electrolyte layer is made from the same material and has the same thickness, it is inherent that it would have the same flexible property.

Response to Arguments

5. Newly added claims 23-25 have been entered in the record and have been considered.

Claim Objections

1. Corrections to Claim 15 have been noted and Objection withdrawn.

Claim Rejections

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-10, filed February 11, 2005, with respect to Claims 10-16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Badding and Lawrence and a rejection in view of Finn. New grounds of rejection are stated above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Walker whose telephone number is 571-272-3458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am - 5pm.

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kdw

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER